



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/878,749	06/11/2001	Jacob Richter	4303-4095US1	2906
27123	7590	05/04/2006	EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			EREZO, DARWIN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/878,749	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RICHTER, JACOB	
	<b>Examiner</b> <b>DARWIN EREZO</b> <del>Anhtuan T. Nguyen</del>	<b>Art Unit</b> 3731	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anhtuan T. Nguyen. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Keith McWha. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 31 March 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It is noted that the allowed claims on the NOA mailed on 01/03/06 were incorrectly indicated as being "1-12 and 23-32". The allowed claims should have been --1-12 and 22-32--. After further review, no other corrective action from the Office is needed since the Issue Classification sheet correctly indicated claims 1-12 and 22-32 as being allowable.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

**ANHTUAN T. NGUYEN**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

3/31/06.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required